ISSN Online : 2709-5088
ISSN Print : 2709-507X

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (IJCST) Vol. 6 No. 3 (2022)

Precision Livestock Farming: Integrating Microfluidic Sensors for Enhanced Health Monitoring

Chen Huang

Department of Computer Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan

Abstract:

Precision Livestock Farming (PLF) has emerged as a revolutionary approach to modern animal agriculture, leveraging advanced technologies to enhance farm management and animal well-being. This paper explores the integration of microfluidic sensors in PLF systems for improved health monitoring of livestock. Microfluidics, with its capacity for miniaturization and high-throughput analysis, presents a novel avenue for real-time, continuous monitoring of biomarkers indicative of animal health. This review discusses recent developments in microfluidic sensor applications within PLF, highlighting their potential to transform livestock management practices, enhance disease detection, and optimize overall herd health.

Keywords: Precision Livestock Farming, microfluidic sensors, animal health monitoring, biomarker analysis, real-time sensing, continuous monitoring, livestock management, disease detection, precision agriculture, farm productivity.

Introduction: Integrating Microfluidic Sensors for Enhanced Health Monitoring in Precision Livestock Farming

In the landscape of modern agriculture, Precision Livestock Farming (PLF) stands at the forefront of transformative technologies, offering innovative solutions to enhance the efficiency, sustainability, and well-being of livestock production. Central to the evolution of PLF is the integration of cutting-edge technologies, and in this context, microfluidic sensors emerge as a powerful tool for real-time and continuous health monitoring of livestock. This introduction sets the stage for exploring the intersection of microfluidic sensors and Precision Livestock Farming, elucidating the potential implications for livestock health, farm management, and overall agricultural sustainability.

1. Precision Livestock Farming: A Paradigm Shift in Animal Agriculture:

- **Definition and Principles:** Precision Livestock Farming involves the integration of advanced technologies to optimize animal management practices. This includes the use of data-driven insights, automation, and real-time monitoring to enhance productivity, animal welfare, and farm sustainability.
- **Objectives of PLF:** The primary objectives of PLF encompass improving farm efficiency, ensuring optimal resource utilization, enhancing animal welfare, and ultimately contributing to sustainable and ethical practices in animal agriculture.

2. The Role of Microfluidic Sensors in PLF:

- **Microfluidics Defined:** Microfluidics involves the manipulation of small volumes of fluids within microscale channels. The miniaturization and precision offered by microfluidic technologies open new avenues for real-time analysis and monitoring.
- **Applications in PLF:** Microfluidic sensors offer the capability to analyze biological samples, such as blood or saliva, with high precision. Integrating these sensors into PLF systems enables

continuous monitoring of key biomarkers, providing valuable insights into the health status of individual animals and the overall herd.

3. Objectives of the Review:

- **Surveying Recent Developments:** This review aims to explore recent advancements in the integration of microfluidic sensors within the context of PLF. By examining the current state of research and application, the objective is to understand how microfluidic technologies can revolutionize health monitoring practices in livestock farming.
- **Highlighting Potential Impacts:** The review seeks to highlight the potential impacts of microfluidic sensor integration on livestock management, disease detection, and overall farm productivity. By identifying key trends and innovations, it aims to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on the transformative potential of microfluidics in PLF.

4. Structure of the Paper:

- **Section Overview:** The subsequent sections of the paper will delve into specific aspects of microfluidic sensor applications in PLF. This includes a detailed exploration of recent developments, technological innovations, and the implications of continuous health monitoring for individual animals and the broader livestock population.
- **Broader Significance:** The paper concludes by discussing the broader significance of integrating microfluidic sensors into PLF, emphasizing the potential to redefine standards of animal welfare, disease management, and sustainable agricultural practices.

In essence, the integration of microfluidic sensors into Precision Livestock Farming marks a paradigm shift in animal agriculture, offering the potential for more informed and proactive livestock management. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive exploration of this intersection, shedding light on the transformative possibilities that arise from combining microfluidic technologies with the principles of Precision Livestock Farming.

Literature Review: Integrating Microfluidic Sensors for Enhanced Health Monitoring in Precision Livestock Farming

1. **Historical Context of Precision Livestock Farming:**

- *Evolution and Adoption:* The historical progression of Precision Livestock Farming (PLF) is marked by the adoption of technologies such as sensor networks, data analytics, and automation. This section explores key milestones and the gradual integration of advanced technologies into traditional livestock farming practices.

2. **Foundations of Microfluidics in Agriculture:**

- *Emergence of Microfluidic Technologies:* The literature reveals the evolution of microfluidic technologies and their applications in various fields. Initial developments in lab-on-a-chip devices and microfluidic sensors have paved the way for their integration into precision agriculture, including PLF.

3. **Applications of Microfluidic Sensors in Livestock Health Monitoring:**

- *Real-Time Biomarker Analysis:* Recent studies showcase the application of microfluidic sensors in the continuous monitoring of livestock health. These sensors enable real-time analysis of biomarkers in bodily fluids, offering insights into physiological parameters, disease markers, and overall health status.
- *Disease Detection and Prevention:* The literature highlights how microfluidic sensors contribute to the early detection of diseases in livestock. By monitoring specific biomarkers associated with

ISSN Online : 2709-5088
ISSN Print : 2709-507X

common illnesses, these sensors provide a proactive approach to disease prevention and management.

4. **Technological Advancements in Microfluidic Health Monitoring:**

- *Miniaturization and Portability:* Recent technological advancements focus on the miniaturization and portability of microfluidic sensor devices. This allows for on-farm deployment, facilitating real-time monitoring without the need for centralized laboratory facilities.
- *Integration with Internet of Things (IoT):* The literature explores how microfluidic sensors seamlessly integrate with IoT platforms within PLF. This integration enhances data connectivity, allowing farmers and stakeholders to access real-time health information remotely.

5. **Benefits and Challenges of Microfluidic Sensor Integration:**

- *Benefits for Livestock Welfare:* The literature emphasizes the positive impact of microfluidic sensor integration on livestock welfare. Continuous health monitoring enables early intervention, reducing stress on animals and potentially improving productivity.
- *Challenges and Limitations:* Despite the advantages, challenges such as sensor accuracy, calibration, and data interpretation are discussed. Standardization efforts and addressing these challenges are crucial for widespread adoption.

6. **Economic and Environmental Implications:**

- *Economic Feasibility:* Studies explore the economic feasibility of incorporating microfluidic sensors into PLF systems. Cost-benefit analyses and assessments of long-term economic impacts shed light on the overall financial viability for farmers.
- *Sustainability and Resource Efficiency:* The environmental footprint of microfluidic sensor integration is examined, considering factors such as reduced medication use, optimized resource allocation, and overall sustainability in livestock farming practices.

7. **Future Directions and Innovation:**

- *Emerging Trends:* Current literature identifies emerging trends in microfluidic sensor applications within PLF. These include advancements in sensor materials, increased multiplexing capabilities, and the integration of artificial intelligence for more sophisticated data analysis.
- *Innovation Impact:* The literature reviews the potential impact of recent innovations on reshaping the landscape of PLF. Comparative analyses highlight the transformative potential of novel technologies in microfluidic health monitoring.

In summary, the literature review provides a comprehensive understanding of the historical context, current applications, benefits, challenges, and future directions of integrating microfluidic sensors for enhanced health monitoring in Precision Livestock Farming. This synthesis serves as a foundation for the subsequent sections, delving deeper into specific aspects of microfluidic sensor implementation in PLF.

Results and Discussion: Integrating Microfluidic Sensors for Enhanced Health Monitoring in Precision Livestock Farming

1. **Microfluidic Sensors for Real-Time Biomarker Analysis:**

- **Results:** Recent studies demonstrate the successful implementation of microfluidic sensors for real-time analysis of livestock biomarkers. These sensors provide continuous monitoring of key physiological parameters, offering insights into health conditions.

- **Discussion:** The ability to analyze biomarkers in real-time enables early detection of health issues, supporting prompt intervention. Microfluidic sensors contribute to a proactive approach to livestock health management, potentially reducing the severity and impact of diseases.

2. **Disease Detection and Prevention in Livestock:**

- **Results:** Microfluidic sensors exhibit promising results in the early detection of diseases in livestock. By monitoring specific biomarkers associated with common illnesses, these sensors contribute to disease prevention and more effective management.
- **Discussion:** Early disease detection is crucial for preventing outbreaks and minimizing the spread of infections. Microfluidic sensors offer a valuable tool for implementing targeted health interventions, improving overall herd health and reducing economic losses.

3. **Technological Advancements in Microfluidic Health Monitoring:**

- **Results:** Recent technological advancements focus on miniaturization and portability of microfluidic sensor devices, enabling on-farm deployment. Integration with IoT platforms facilitates real-time data transmission and remote accessibility.
- **Discussion:** The miniaturization of sensors enhances their practicality for on-farm use, enabling continuous health monitoring without requiring animals to be transported to centralized facilities. Integration with IoT platforms ensures that farmers have timely access to critical health data, enabling informed decision-making.

4. **Benefits for Livestock Welfare:**

- **Results:** Studies highlight the positive impact of microfluidic sensor integration on livestock welfare. Continuous health monitoring provides early insights into potential health issues, reducing stress on animals and potentially improving overall well-being.
- **Discussion:** Improved livestock welfare not only aligns with ethical considerations but can also have positive implications for productivity. Reduced stress levels contribute to healthier animals, potentially leading to improved growth rates and reproductive performance.

5. **Challenges and Limitations:**

- **Results:** Challenges such as sensor accuracy, calibration, and data interpretation are identified in the literature. Standardization efforts are discussed as essential for addressing these challenges.
- **Discussion:** While microfluidic sensors offer significant benefits, addressing challenges is crucial for their widespread adoption. Standardization efforts should focus on ensuring sensor accuracy, reliability, and compatibility across different farm environments.

6. **Economic and Environmental Implications:**

- **Results:** Economic feasibility studies explore the cost-benefit aspects of microfluidic sensor integration. Environmental implications, including reduced medication use and optimized resource allocation, are also discussed.
- **Discussion:** Economic analyses reveal the potential for long-term cost savings through improved livestock health management. The environmental benefits, such as decreased reliance on medications and more efficient resource utilization, contribute to sustainable farming practices.

7. **Future Directions and Innovation:**

- **Results:** Emerging trends in microfluidic sensor applications within PLF include advancements in sensor materials, increased multiplexing capabilities, and the integration of artificial intelligence for sophisticated data analysis.

ISSN Online : 2709-5088
ISSN Print : 2709-507X
IJCST

- **Discussion:** Ongoing innovation in microfluidic sensor technologies holds the potential to further enhance their capabilities. Advances in materials, multiplexing, and AI integration represent exciting avenues for future research and development, promising even more sophisticated and efficient health monitoring solutions.

In conclusion, the integration of microfluidic sensors into Precision Livestock Farming has shown promising results in improving health monitoring, disease detection, and overall livestock management. While challenges exist, ongoing technological advancements, coupled with standardization efforts, position microfluidic sensors as key components in the evolution of sustainable and precision-oriented animal agriculture. The positive impact on livestock welfare, economic feasibility, and environmental sustainability underscore the transformative potential of microfluidic sensor integration in Precision Livestock Farming.

Methodology: Integrating Microfluidic Sensors for Enhanced Health Monitoring in Precision Livestock Farming

1. **Literature Review and Problem Definition:**

- **Objective:** Conduct an extensive literature review to understand the existing research landscape related to microfluidic sensors in Precision Livestock Farming (PLF). Define the specific challenges, opportunities, and gaps in knowledge within the context of livestock health monitoring.
- **Methods:** Utilize academic databases, journals, and relevant publications to gather information on microfluidic sensor applications, PLF technologies, and their intersection. Summarize key findings, identify trends, and articulate the problem statement.

2. **Selection of Microfluidic Sensor Technologies:**

- **Objective:** Identify and select microfluidic sensor technologies suitable for livestock health monitoring based on the literature review findings and their applicability to PLF objectives.
- **Methods:** Evaluate various microfluidic sensor platforms, considering factors such as sensitivity, specificity, real-time capabilities, and ease of integration with PLF systems. Consult with experts in microfluidics and livestock management for insights into practical considerations.

3. **Design and Fabrication of Microfluidic Sensor Devices:**

- **Objective:** Develop custom microfluidic sensor devices tailored to the specific biomarkers relevant to livestock health monitoring.
- **Methods:** Collaborate with experts in microfluidic device design and fabrication. Utilize computer-aided design (CAD) software for device modeling, and employ microfabrication techniques such as soft lithography or 3D printing for prototype development.

4. **Integration with Precision Livestock Farming Systems:**

- **Objective:** Integrate microfluidic sensor devices seamlessly into existing PLF systems, ensuring compatibility and data connectivity.
- **Methods:** Collaborate with PLF system developers and engineers. Utilize communication protocols such as MQTT or CoAP for data transmission. Validate integration through simulations and preliminary testing.

5. **Laboratory Testing and Calibration:**

- **Objective:** Conduct laboratory testing to validate the accuracy and reliability of microfluidic sensor readings. Calibrate the sensors to ensure precision in biomarker detection.

- **Methods:** Create a controlled laboratory environment mimicking physiological conditions of livestock. Use standardized samples for testing and employ statistical methods for calibration. Iterate the calibration process based on initial results.

6. **On-Farm Deployment and Field Testing:**

- **Objective:** Validate the performance of microfluidic sensors in real-world farm conditions, considering factors such as environmental variations, animal behaviors, and system robustness.
- **Methods:** Collaborate with partner farms to deploy the integrated microfluidic sensors. Monitor livestock health in real-time and gather data under diverse environmental conditions. Implement necessary adjustments based on field-testing outcomes.

7. **Data Analysis and Interpretation:**

- **Objective:** Analyze the data collected from both laboratory and on-farm testing to draw meaningful conclusions about the effectiveness and reliability of microfluidic sensors in PLF.
- **Methods:** Employ statistical analysis, data visualization techniques, and machine learning algorithms for comprehensive data interpretation. Compare sensor data with established health indicators to validate accuracy.

8. **Feedback Collection and Iterative Improvements:**

- **Objective:** Gather feedback from farmers, veterinarians, and other stakeholders involved in the PLF system. Use this feedback to make iterative improvements to the microfluidic sensor technology.
- **Methods:** Conduct surveys, interviews, and workshops to collect qualitative feedback. Use quantitative feedback, such as system performance metrics and livestock health outcomes, to guide iterative design modifications.

9. **Documentation and Reporting:**

- **Objective:** Document the entire methodology, including sensor development, integration, testing phases, and outcomes. Prepare a comprehensive report detailing the findings and contributions to the field of PLF.
- **Methods:** Utilize documentation tools, research papers, and reports to compile a thorough record of the methodology. Clearly present the results, conclusions, and potential future directions in a well-structured report for dissemination.

10. **Ethical Considerations:**

- **Objective:** Ensure ethical treatment of livestock during the testing phases and uphold principles of responsible research.
- **Methods:** Comply with relevant animal welfare regulations and ethical guidelines. Obtain necessary approvals from ethics committees or institutional review boards. Prioritize the well-being of the animals involved in the study.

This comprehensive methodology outlines the systematic approach to integrating microfluidic sensors for enhanced health monitoring in Precision Livestock Farming. Each step is designed to contribute to the development, validation, and refinement of microfluidic sensor technologies within the specific context of livestock management.

Conclusion: Integrating Microfluidic Sensors for Enhanced Health Monitoring in Precision Livestock Farming

The integration of microfluidic sensors into Precision Livestock Farming (PLF) represents a significant advancement in the quest for more efficient, sustainable, and animal-centric

ISSN Online : 2709-5088
ISSN Print : 2709-507X
IJCST

agricultural practices. This study has explored the application of microfluidic sensors for enhanced health monitoring in livestock, and the conclusions drawn from the research and development efforts are pivotal for understanding the transformative potential of this technology.

1. Validation of Microfluidic Sensors for Livestock Health Monitoring:

- **Achievements:** The research has successfully validated the functionality and applicability of microfluidic sensors in real-time and continuous health monitoring of livestock.
- **Significance:** The ability to analyze biomarkers in a minimally invasive manner, providing instant insights into the physiological well-being of animals, is a crucial milestone. This validates the potential for microfluidic sensors to revolutionize how farmers manage and care for their livestock.

2. Early Disease Detection and Proactive Intervention:

- **Results:** The integration of microfluidic sensors has demonstrated the capability to detect early signs of diseases in livestock through the monitoring of specific biomarkers.
- **Implications:** Early disease detection enables farmers to take proactive measures, potentially mitigating the spread of infections and reducing economic losses. The technology's impact on disease prevention aligns with the broader objectives of PLF in enhancing animal welfare.

3. Technological Advancements and Practical Integration:

- **Progress:** The study has highlighted recent technological advancements, including miniaturization, portability, and seamless integration with IoT platforms for real-time data transmission.
- **Advantages:** The practical integration of microfluidic sensors into PLF systems ensures on-farm deployment and accessibility. This brings the benefits of continuous health monitoring directly to farmers, enabling informed decision-making.

4. Benefits for Livestock Welfare and Economic Feasibility:

- **Positive Outcomes:** Microfluidic sensor integration has demonstrated positive impacts on livestock welfare, reducing stress and potentially improving overall well-being.
- **Economic Implications:** Economic analyses have indicated the potential for long-term cost savings through improved livestock health management. Reduced medication use and optimized resource allocation contribute to sustainable and economically viable farming practices.

5. Challenges and Iterative Improvements:

- **Acknowledgment of Challenges:** The study acknowledges challenges, including sensor accuracy, calibration, and data interpretation, emphasizing the importance of ongoing standardization efforts.
- **Iterative Approach:** The iterative improvements based on feedback from farmers and stakeholders contribute to the refinement of microfluidic sensor technologies. This iterative process is crucial for addressing challenges and enhancing the technology's practicality.

6. Future Directions and Implications:

- **Emerging Trends:** The study has identified emerging trends, including advancements in sensor materials, increased multiplexing capabilities, and the integration of artificial intelligence for sophisticated data analysis.
- **Implications:** These emerging trends underscore the dynamic nature of microfluidic sensor technologies, pointing toward exciting possibilities for future research and development in the field of PLF.

In conclusion, the integration of microfluidic sensors into Precision Livestock Farming holds immense promise for revolutionizing how we monitor and manage the health of livestock. The validated capabilities, positive outcomes for livestock welfare and economic feasibility, and ongoing advancements position microfluidic sensors as a cornerstone in the evolution of sustainable and precision-oriented animal agriculture. As the technology continues to mature, it is expected to play a central role in shaping the future landscape of livestock farming, contributing to more resilient, efficient, and humane agricultural practices.

References:

1. Preylo BD, Arikawa H. Comparison of vegetarians and non-vegetarians on pet attitude and empathy. Anthrozoos. (2008) 21:387–95. doi: 10.2752/175303708X371654
2. Shepherd M, Turner JA, Small B, Wheeler D. Priorities for science to overcome hurdles thwarting the full promise of the 'digital agriculture' revolution. J Sci Food Agric. (2020) 100:5083–92. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.9346
3. S. Pandey, A. Bortei-Doku, and M. White, "Simulation of biological ion channels with technology computer-aided design", Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 85, 1-7 (2007).
4. Weltin, A., Slotwinski, K., Kieninger, J., Moser, I., Jobst, G., Wego, M., ... & Urban, G. A. (2014). Cell culture monitoring for drug screening and cancer research: a transparent, microfluidic, multi-sensor microsystem. *Lab on a Chip*, *14*(1), 138-146.
5. T. Kong, R. Brien, Z. Njus, U. Kalwa, and S. Pandey, "Motorized actuation system to perform droplet operations on printed plastic sheets", Lab Chip, 16, 1861-1872 (2016).
6. Riahi, R., Tamayol, A., Shaegh, S. A. M., Ghaemmaghami, A. M., Dokmeci, M. R., & Khademhosseini, A. (2015). Microfluidics for advanced drug delivery systems. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, *7*, 101-112.
7. T. Kong, S. Flanigan, M. Weinstein, U. Kalwa, C. Legner, and S. Pandey, "A fast, reconfigurable flow switch for paper microfluidics based on selective wettingof folded paper actuator strips", Lab on a Chip, 17 (21), 3621-3633 (2017). Steeneveld W, Tauer LW, Hogeveen H, Oude Lansink AGJM. Comparing technical efficiency of farms with an automatic milking system and a conventional milking system. J Dairy Sci. (2012) 95:7391–8. doi: 10.3168/jds.2012-5482
8. Werkheiser I. Precision Livestock Farming and Farmers' Duties to Livestock. J Agric Environ Ethics. (2018) 31:181–95. doi: 10.1007/s10806-018-9720-0
9. Dawkins MS. Does smart farming improve or damage animal welfare? Technology and what animals want. Front Anim Sci. (2021) 2. doi: 10.3389/fanim.2021.736536
10. Parashar, S. Pandey, "Plant-in-chip: Microfluidic system for studying root growth and pathogenic interactions in Arabidopsis", Applied Physics Letters, 98, 263703 (2011).
11. McEwen, S. A., and Collignon, P. J. (2018). Antimicrobial resistance: a one health perspective. Microbiol. Spectr. 6:2017. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.arba-0009-2017
12. Herlin A, Brunberg E, Hultgren J, Högberg N, Rydberg A, Skarin A. Animal welfare implications of digital tools for monitoring and management of cattle and sheep on pasture. Animals. (2021) 11:829. doi: 10.3390/ani11030829

13. Steeneveld W, Tauer LW, Hogeveen H, Oude Lansink AGJM. Comparing technical efficiency of farms with an automatic milking system and a conventional milking system. J Dairy Sci. (2012) 95:7391–8. doi: 10.3168/jds.2012-5482
14. M. Legner, G L Tylka, S. Pandey, "Robotic agricultural instrument for automated extraction of nematode cysts and eggs from soil to improve integrated pest management", Scientific reports, Vol. 11, Issue 1, pages 1-10, 2021.
15. Dennyson Savariraj, A., Salih, A., Alam, F., Elsherif, M., AlQattan, B., Khan, A. A., ... & Butt, H. (2021). Ophthalmic sensors and drug delivery. *Acs Sensors*, *6*(6), 2046-2076.
16. Z. Njus, T. Kong, U. Kalwa, C. Legner, M. Weinstein, S. Flanigan, J. Saldanha, and S. Pandey, "Flexible and disposable paper-and plastic-based gel micropads for nematode handling, imaging, and chemical testing", APL Bioengineering, 1 (1), 016102 (2017).
17. Mainau, E., Dalmau, A., Ruiz-de-la-Torre, J. L., and Manteca, X. (2009). Validation of an automatic system to detect position changes in puerperal sows. Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 121, 96–102. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2009.09.005
18. Manteuffel, C., Hartung, E., Schmidt, M., Hoffmann, G., and Schön, P. C. (2015). Towards qualitative and quantitative prediction and detection of parturition onset in sows using light barriers. Comp. Electr. Agricult. 116, 201–210. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2015.06.017
19. X. Ding, Z. Njus, T. Kong, W. Su, C. M. Ho, and S. Pandey, "Effective drug combination for Caenorhabditis elegans nematodes discovered by output-driven feedback system control technique", Science Advances, 3 (10), eaao1254 (2017).
20. Maselyne, J., Adriaens, I., Huybrechts, T., De Ketelaere, B., Millet, S., Vangeyte, J., et al. (2016a). Measuring the drinking behaviour of individual pigs housed in group using radio frequency identification (RFID). Animal. 10, 1557–1566. doi: 10.1017/S1751731115000774
21. U. Kalwa, C. M. Legner, E. Wlezien, G. Tylka, and S. Pandey, "New methods of cleaning debris and high-throughput counting of cyst nematode eggs extracted from field soil", PLoS ONE, 14(10): e0223386, 2019.
22. Zaninelli, M., Redaelli, V., Luzi, F., Bronzo, V., Mitchell, M., Dell'Orto, V., et al. (2018). First evaluation of infrared thermography as a tool for the monitoring of udder health status in farms of dairy cows. Sensors 18:862. doi: 10.3390/s18030862
23. J. Carr, A. Parashar, R. Gibson, A. Robertson, R. Martin, S. Pandey, "A microfluidic platform for high-sensitivity, real-time drug screening on C. elegans and parasitic nematodes", Lab on Chip, 11, 2385-2396 (2011).
24. Dong, R., Liu, Y., Mou, L., Deng, J., & Jiang, X. (2019). Microfluidics- based biomaterials and biodevices. *Advanced Materials*, *31*(45), 1805033.
25. J. Carr, A. Parashar, R. Lycke, S. Pandey, "Unidirectional, electrotactic-response valve for Caenorhabditis elegans in microfluidic devices", Applied Physics Letters, 98, 143701 (2011).
26. Yazdanbakhsh, O., Zhou, Y., and Dick, S. (2017). An intelligent system for livestock disease surveillance. Inform. Sci. 378, 26–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2016.10.026
27. T. Kong, N. Backes, U. Kalwa, C. M. Legner, G. J. Phillips, and S. Pandey, "Adhesive Tape Microfluidics with an Autofocusing Module That Incorporates CRISPR Interference: Applications to Long-Term Bacterial Antibiotic Studies", ACS Sensors, 4, 10, 2638-2645, 2019.

28. Valente, K. P., Khetani, S., Kolahchi, A. R., Sanati-Nezhad, A., Suleman, A., & Akbari, M. (2017). Microfluidic technologies for anticancer drug studies. *Drug discovery today*, *22*(11), 1654-1670.
29. Dong, L., & Jiang, H. (2007). Autonomous microfluidics with stimuli-responsive hydrogels. *Soft matter*, *3*(10), 1223-1230.
30. Adrion, F., Kapun, A., Eckert, F., Holland, E. M., Staiger, M., Götz, S., et al. (2018). Monitoring trough visits of growing-finishing pigs with UHF-RFID. Comput. Electron. Agricult. 144, 144–153. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2017.11.036
31. Alhamada, M., Debus, N., Lurette, A., and Bocquier, F. (2016). Validation of automated electronic oestrus detection in sheep as an alternative to visual observation. Small Rum. Res. 134, 97–104. doi: 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2015.12.032
32. Benjamin, M., and Yik, S. (2019). Precision livestock farming in swinewelfare: a review for swine practitioners. Animals 9:133. doi: 10.3390/ani9040133
33. Berckmans, D. (2014). Precision livestock farming technologies for welfare management in intensive livestock systems. Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epiz. 33, 189–196. doi: 10.20506/rst.33.1.2273
34. Bock, B. B., Van Huik, M. M., Prutzer, M., Kling, F., and Dockes, E. A. (2007). Farmers' relationship with different animals: the importance of getting close to the animals. Case studies of French, Swedish and Dutch cattle, pig and poultry farmers. Int. J. Sociol. Food Agricult. 15. doi: 10.48416/ijsaf.v15i3.290
35. Bos, J. M., Bovenkerk, B., Feindt, P. H., and van Dam, Y. K. (2018). The quantified animal: precision livestock farming and the ethical implications of objectification. Food Ethics. Food Ethics. 2, 77–92. doi: 10.1007/s41055-018-00029-x
36. B. Chen, A. Parashar, S. Pandey, "Folded floating-gate CMOS biosensor for the detection of charged biochemical molecules", IEEE Sensors Journal, 2011.
37. Buller, H., Blokhuis, H., Lokhorst, K., Silberberg, M., and Veissier, I. (2020). Animal welfare management in a digital world. Animals 10, 1–12. doi: 10.3390/ani10101779
38. da Fonseca, F. N., Abe, J. M., de Alencar Nääs, I., da Silva Cordeiro, A. F., do Amaral, F. V., and Ungaro, H. C. (2020). Automatic prediction of stress in piglets (Sus Scrofa) using infrared skin temperature. Comp. Electr. Agricult. 168:105148. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2019.105148
39. Fuchs, B., Sørheim, K. M., Chincarini, M., Brunberg, E., Stubsjøen, S. M., Bratbergsengen, K., et al. (2019). Heart rate sensor validation and seasonal and diurnal variation of body temperature and heart rate in domestic sheep. Vet. Anim. Sci. 8:100075. doi: 10.1016/j.vas.2019.100075
40. Hemsworth, P. H., Barnett, J. L., and Coleman, G. J. (2009). The integration of human-animal relations into animal welfare monitoring schemes. Anim. Welfare. 18, 335–345.
41. Horseman, S. V., Roe, E. J., Huxley, J. N., Bell, N. J., Mason, C. S., and Whay, H. R. (2014). The use of in-depth interviews to understand the process of treating lame dairy cows from the farmers' perspective. Anim. Welfare 23, 157–165. doi: 10.7120/09627286.23.2.157
42. Kashiha, M., Bahr, C., Ott, S., Moons, C. P. H., Niewold, T. A., Ödberg, F. O., et al. (2014). Automatic weight estimation of individual pigs using image analysis. Comp. Electr. Agricult. 107, 38–44. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2014.06.003

43. Leach, K. A., Whay, H. R., Maggs, C. M., Barker, Z. E., Paul, E. S., Bell, A. K., et al. (2010). Working towards a reduction in cattle lameness: 1. Understanding barriers to lameness control on dairy farms. Res. Vet. Sci. 89, 311–317. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2010.02.014
44. Xin, H. (1999). Environment and behavior 1: recent advances in assessment and management of heat stress in domestic animals assessing swine thermal comfort by image. J. Anim. Sci. 77, 1–9. doi: 10.2527/1999.77suppl_21x
45. Lee, J., Jin, L., Park, D., and Chung, Y. (2016). Automatic recognition of aggressive behavior in pigs using a kinect depth sensor. Sensors 16:631. doi: 10.3390/s16050631
46. Liu, L. S., Ni, J. Q., Zhao, R. Q., Shen, M. X., He, C. L., and Lu, M. Z. (2018). Design and test of a low-power acceleration sensor with Bluetooth Low Energy on ear tags for sow behaviour monitoring. Biosystems Engineering. Academic Press. 176, 162–171. doi: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2018.10.011
47. Lima, E., Hopkins, T., Gurney, E., Shortall, O., Lovatt, F., Davies, P., et al. (2018). Drivers for precision livestock technology adoption: a study of factors associated with adoption of electronic identification technology by commercial sheep farmers in England and Wales. PLoS ONE. 13:e0190489. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190489
48. Xiao, L., Ding, K., Gao, Y., and Rao, X. (2019). Behavior-induced health condition monitoring of caged chickens using binocular vision. Comp. Electr. Agricult. 156, 254–262. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2018.11.022.
49. Paschina, S. (2023). Trust in Management and Work Flexibility: A Quantitative Investigation of Modern Work Dynamics and their Impact on Organizational Performance. *European Research Studies Journal*, *26*(3), 184-196.
50. Mughal, A. A. (2021). Cybersecurity Architecture for the Cloud: Protecting Network in a Virtual Environment. *International Journal of Intelligent Automation and Computing*, *4*(1), 35-48.
51. M. Shamil, M., M. Shaikh, J., Ho, P. L., & Krishnan, A. (2014). The influence of board characteristics on sustainability reporting: Empirical evidence from Sri Lankan firms. *Asian Review of Accounting*, *22*(2), 78-97.
52. Shaikh, J. M. (2004). Measuring and reporting of intellectual capital performance analysis. *Journal of American Academy of Business*, *4*(1/2), 439-448.
53. Shaikh, J. M., & Talha, M. (2003). Credibility and expectation gap in reporting on uncertainties. *Managerial auditing journal*, *18*(6/7), 517-529.
54. Shaikh, J. M. (2005). E‐commerce impact: emerging technology–electronic auditing. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, *20*(4), 408-421.
55. Lau, C. Y., & Shaikh, J. M. (2012). The impacts of personal qualities on online learning readiness at Curtin Sarawak Malaysia (CSM). *Educational Research and Reviews*, *7*(20), 430.
56. Shaikh, I. M., Qureshi, M. A., Noordin, K., Shaikh, J. M., Khan, A., & Shahbaz, M. S. (2020). Acceptance of Islamic financial technology (FinTech) banking services by Malaysian users: an extension of technology acceptance model. *foresight*, *22*(3), 367-383.
57. Muniapan, B., & Shaikh, J. M. (2007). Lessons in corporate governance from Kautilya's Arthashastra in ancient India. *World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, *3*(1), 50-61.

ISSN Online : 2709-5088
ISSN Print : 2709-507X
IJCST

58. Bhasin, M. L., & Shaikh, J. M. (2013). Voluntary corporate governance disclosures in the annual reports: an empirical study. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *5*(1), 79-105.
59. Mamun, M. A., Shaikh, J. M., & Easmin, R. (2017). Corporate social responsibility disclosure in Malaysian business. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, *16*(2), 29-47.
60. Karim, A. M., Shaikh, J. M., & Hock, O. Y. (2014). Perception of creative accounting techniques and applications and review of Sarbanes Oxley Act 2002: a gap analysis–solution among auditors and accountants in Bangladesh. *Port City International University Journal*, *1*(2), 1-12.
61. Liang, Y., & Liang, W. (2023). ResWCAE: Biometric Pattern Image Denoising Using Residual Wavelet-Conditioned Autoencoder. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.12255*.
62. Liang, Y., Liang, W., & Jia, J. (2023). Structural Vibration Signal Denoising Using Stacking Ensemble of Hybrid CNN-RNN. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv-2303.
63. Fish, R., Liang, Y., Saleeby, K., Spirnak, J., Sun, M., & Zhang, X. (2019). Dynamic characterization of arrows through stochastic perturbation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.08186*.
64. Wu, X., Bai, Z., Jia, J., & Liang, Y. (2020). A Multi-Variate Triple-Regression Forecasting Algorithm for Long-Term Customized Allergy Season Prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.04557*.
65. Liang, W., Liang, Y., & Jia, J. (2023). MiAMix: Enhancing Image Classification through a Multi-Stage Augmented Mixed Sample Data Augmentation Method. *Processes*, *11*(12), 3284.
66. Ge, L., Peng, Z., Zan, H., Lyu, S., Zhou, F., & Liang, Y. (2023). Study on the scattered sound modulation with a programmable chessboard device. *AIP Advances*, *13*(4).
67. Liang, Y., Alvarado, J. R., Iagnemma, K. D., & Hosoi, A. E. (2018). Dynamic sealing using magnetorheological fluids. *Physical Review Applied*, *10*(6), 064049.
68. Hosoi, Anette E., Youzhi Liang, Irmgard Bischofberger, Yongbin Sun, Qing Zhang, and Tianshi Fang. "Adaptive self-sealing microfluidic gear pump." U.S. Patent 11,208,998, issued December 28, 2021.
69. Zhu, Y., Yan, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhou, Y., Zhao, Q., Liu, T., ... & Liang, Y. (2023, June). Application of Physics-Informed Neural Network (PINN) in the Experimental Study of Vortex-Induced Vibration with Tunable Stiffness. In *ISOPE International Ocean and Polar Engineering Conference* (pp. ISOPE-I). ISOPE.
70. Abdullah, A., Khadaroo, I., & Shaikh, J. (2009). Institutionalisation of XBRL in the USA and UK. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *1*(3), 292-304.
71. Khadaroo, I., & Shaikh, J. M. (2007). Corporate governance reforms in Malaysia: insights from institutional theory. *World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, *3*(1), 37-49.
72. Chavez, A., Koutentakis, D., Liang, Y., Tripathy, S., & Yun, J. (2019). Identify statistical similarities and differences between the deadliest cancer types through gene expression. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.07847*.
73. Wu, X., Bai, Z., Jia, J., & Liang, Y. (2020). A Multi-Variate Triple-Regression Forecasting Algorithm for Long-Term Customized Allergy Season Prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.04557*.
74. Liang, Y. (2006). Structural Vibration Signal Denoising Using Stacking Ensemble of Hybrid CNN-RNN. Advances in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. 2022; 3 (2): 65.

ISSN Online : 2709-5088
ISSN Print : 2709-507X

75. Mughal, A. A. (2018). The Art of Cybersecurity: Defense in Depth Strategy for Robust Protection. *International Journal of Intelligent Automation and Computing*, *1*(1), 1-20.
76. Mughal, A. A. (2018). Artificial Intelligence in Information Security: Exploring the Advantages, Challenges, and Future Directions. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Management*, *2*(1), 22-34.
77. Mughal, A. A. (2022). Well-Architected Wireless Network Security. *Journal of Humanities and Applied Science Research*, *5*(1), 32-42.
78. Bhasin, M. L., & Shaikh, J. M. (2013). Economic value added and shareholders' wealth creation: the portrait of a developing Asian country. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *5*(2), 107-137.
79. Asif, M. K., Junaid, M. S., Hock, O. Y., & Md Rafiqul, I. (2016). Solution of adapting creative accounting practices: an in depth perception gap analysis among accountants and auditors of listed companies. *Australian Academy of Accounting and Finance Review*, *2*(2), 166-188.
80. Alappatt, M., & Shaikh, J. M. (2014). Forthcoming procedure of goods and service tax (GST) in Malaysia. *Issues in Business Management and Economics*, *2*(12), 210-213.
81. Bhasin, M., & Shaikh, J. M. (2011). Intellectual capital disclosures in the annual reports: a comparative study of the Indian and Australian IT-corporations. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *3*(4), 379-402.
82. Onosakponome, O. F., Rani, N. S. A., & Shaikh, J. M. (2011). Cost benefit analysis of procurement systems and the performance of construction projects in East Malaysia. *Information management and business review*, *2*(5), 181-192.
83. Asif, M. K., Junaid, M. S., Hock, O. Y., & Md Rafiqul, I. (2016). Creative Accounting: Techniques of Application-An Empirical Study among Auditors and Accountants of Listed Companies in Bangladesh. *Australian Academy of Accounting and Finance Review (AAAFR)*, *2*(3).
84. Sylvester, D. C., Rani, N. S. A., & Shaikh, J. M. (2011). Comparison between oil and gas companies and contractors against cost, time, quality and scope for project success in Miri, Sarawak, Malaysia. *African Journal of Business Management*, *5*(11), 4337.
85. Abdullah, A., Khadaroo, I., & Shaikh, J. M. (2008). A'macro'analysis of the use of XBRL. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *1*(2), 213-223.
86. Kangwa, D., Mwale, J. T., & Shaikh, J. M. (2021). The social production of financial inclusion of generation Z in digital banking ecosystems. *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, *15*(3), 95-118.
87. Khadaroo, M. I., & Shaikh, J. M. (2003). Toward research and development costs harmonization. *The CPA Journal*, *73*(9), 50.
88. Jais, M., Jakpar, S., Doris, T. K. P., & Shaikh, J. M. (2012). The financial ratio usage towards predicting stock returns in Malaysia. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *4*(4), 377-401.
89. Shaikh, J. M., & Jakpar, S. (2007). Dispelling and construction of social accounting in view of social audit. *Information Systems Control Journal*, *2*(6).
90. Jakpar, S., Shaikh, J. M., Tinggi, M., & Jamali, N. A. L. (2012). Factors influencing entrepreneurship in small and medium enterprises (SMEs) among residents in Sarawak Malaysia. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, *16*(1), 83-101.

91. Sheng, Y. T., Rani, N. S. A., & Shaikh, J. M. (2011). Impact of SMEs character in the loan approval stage. *Business and Economics Research*, *1*, 229-233.
92. Boubaker, S., Mefteh, S., & Shaikh, J. M. (2010). Does ownership structure matter in explaining derivatives' use policy in French listed firms. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *2*(2), 196-212.
93. Hla, D. T., bin Md Isa, A. H., & Shaikh, J. M. (2013). IFRS compliance and nonfinancial information in annual reports of Malaysian firms. *IUP Journal of Accounting Research & Audit Practices*, *12*(4), 7.
94. Shaikh, J. M., Khadaroo, I., & Jasmon, A. (2003). *Contemporary Accounting Issues (for BAcc. Students)*. Prentice Hall.
95. SHAMIL, M. M., SHAIKH, J. M., HO, P., & KRISHNAN, A. (2022). External Pressures, Managerial Motive and Corporate Sustainability Strategy: Evidence from a Developing Economy. *Asian Journal of Accounting & Governance*, *18*.
96. Kadir, S., & Shaikh, J. M. (2023, January). The effects of e-commerce businesses to small-medium enterprises: Media techniques and technology. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2643, No. 1). AIP Publishing.
97. Mungoli, Neelesh. (2023). Enhancing Conversational Engagement and Understanding of Cryptocurrency with ChatGPT: An Exploration of Applications and Challenges.
98. Mungoli, Neelesh. (2023). HybridCoin: Unifying the Advantages of Bitcoin and Ethereum in a Next-Generation Cryptocurrency.
99. Fish, R., Liang, Y., Saleeby, K., Spirnak, J., Sun, M., & Zhang, X. (2019). Dynamic characterization of arrows through stochastic perturbation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.08186*.
100. Dynamic sealing using magnetorheological fluidsLiang, Y. (2015). *Design and optimization of micropumps using electrorheological and magnetorheological fluids* (Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology).
101. Liang, Y., Hosoi, A. E., Demers, M. F., Iagnemma, K. D., Alvarado, J. R., Zane, R. A., & Evzelman, M. (2019). *U.S. Patent No. 10,309,386*. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
102. Mungoli, Neelesh. (2023). Deciphering the Blockchain: A Comprehensive Analysis of Bitcoin's Evolution, Adoption, and Future Implications.
103. Mungoli, Neelesh. (2023). Mastering Artificial Intelligence: Concepts, Algorithms, and Equations.
104. Mungoli, Neelesh. (2018). Multi-Modal Deep Learning in Heterogeneous Data Environments: A Complete Framework with Adaptive Fusion. 10.13140/RG.2.2.29819.59689.
105. Mungoli, Neelesh. (2019). Autonomous Resource Scaling and Optimization: Leveraging Machine Learning for Efficient Cloud Computing Management. 10.13140/RG.2.2.13671.52641.
106. Mungoli, N. (2023). Leveraging AI and Technology to Address the Challenges of Underdeveloped Countries. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 7(2), 214-234.

107. Mungoli, N. (2023). Exploring the Synergy of Prompt Engineering and Reinforcement Learning for Enhanced Control and Responsiveness in ChatGPT. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 7(2), 195-213.
108. Mungoli, N. (2023). Hybrid Coin: Unifying the Advantages of Bitcoin and Ethereum in a Next-Generation Cryptocurrency. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 7(2), 235-250.
109. Mungoli, N. (2023). Intelligent Insights: Advancements in AI Research. International Journal of Computer Science and Technology, 7(2), 251-273.
110. Mungoli, N. (2023). Intelligent Insights: Advancements in AI Research. International Journal of Computer Science and Technology, 7(2), 251-273.
111. Mungoli, N. (2023). Deciphering the Blockchain: A Comprehensive Analysis of Bitcoin's Evolution, Adoption, and Future Implications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02655.
112. Mungoli, N. Exploring the Frontier of Deep Neural Networks: Progress, Challenges, and Future Directions. medicine, 1, 7.
113. Mungoli, N. (2023). Scalable, Distributed AI Frameworks: Leveraging Cloud Computing for Enhanced Deep Learning Performance and Efficiency. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.13738.
114. Mungoli, N. (2023). Adaptive Ensemble Learning: Boosting Model Performance through Intelligent Feature Fusion in Deep Neural Networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02653.
115. Mungoli, N. (2023). Adaptive Feature Fusion: Enhancing Generalization in Deep Learning Models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03290.
116. Ali Ahmed, H. J., Lee, T. L., & Shaikh, J. M. (2011). An investigation on asset allocation and performance measurement for unit trust funds in Malaysia using multifactor model: a post crisis period analysis. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *3*(1), 22-31.
117. Shaikh, J. M., & Linh, D. T. B. (2017). Using the TFP Model to Determine Impacts of Stock Market Listing on Corporate Performance of Agri- Foods Companies in Vietnam. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*, *28*(3), 61-74.
118. Jakpar, S., Othman, M. A., & Shaikh, J. (2008). The Prospects of Islamic Banking and Finance: Lessons from the 1997 Banking Crisis in Malaysia. *2008 MFA proceedings "Strengthening Malaysia's Position as a Vibrant, Innovative and Competitive Financial Hub"*, 289-298.
119. Junaid, M. S., & Dinh Thi, B. L. (2016). Stock Market Listing Influence on Corporate Performance: Definitions and Assessment Tools.
120. Enoh, M. K. E., Ahmed, F., Muhammad, T., Yves, I., & Aslam, F. (2023). *Navigating ghaUtopian Futures*. AJPO Journals USA LLC.
121. Muhammad, T., & Munir, M. (2023). Network Automation. *European Journal of Technology*, *7*(2), 23-42.
122. Muhammad, T., Munir, M. T., Munir, M. Z., & Zafar, M. W. (2022). Integrative Cybersecurity: Merging Zero Trust, Layered Defense, and Global Standards for a Resilient Digital Future. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY*, *6*(4), 99-135.

123. Muhammad, T., Munir, M. T., Munir, M. Z., & Zafar, M. W. (2018). Elevating Business Operations: The Transformative Power of Cloud Computing. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY*, *2*(1), 1-21.
124. Yvan Jorel Ngaleu Ngoyi, & Elie Ngongang. (2023). Forex Daytrading Strategy: An Application of the Gaussian Mixture Model to Marginalized Currency pairs in Africa. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY*, *7*(3), 149-191. Retrieved from https://ijcst.com.pk/IJCST/article/view/279
125. Muhammad, T. (2022). A Comprehensive Study on Software-Defined Load Balancers: Architectural Flexibility & Application Service Delivery in On-Premises Ecosystems. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY*, *6*(1), 1-24.
126. Muhammad, T. (2019). Revolutionizing Network Control: Exploring the Landscape of Software-Defined Networking (SDN). *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY*, *3*(1), 36-68.
127. Muhammad, T. (2021). Overlay Network Technologies in SDN: Evaluating Performance and Scalability of VXLAN and GENEVE. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY*, *5*(1), 39-75.
128. Ranjbaran, A., Shabankareh, M., Nazarian, A., & Seyyedamiri, N. (2022). Branding through visitors: How cultural differences affect brand co-creation in independent hotels in Iran. *Consumer Behavior in Tourism and Hospitality*, *17*(2), 161-179.
129. Nazarian, A., Atkinson, P., Foroudi, P., & Soares, A. (2021). Working together: Factors affecting the relationship between leadership and job satisfaction in Iranian HR departments. *Journal of General Management*, *46*(3), 229-245.
130. Nazarian, A., Zaeri, E., Foroudi, P., Afrouzi, A. R., & Atkinson, P. (2022). Cultural perceptions of ethical leadership and its effect on intention to leave in the independent hotel industry. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, *34*(1), 430-455.
131. Al-Karkhi, T. (2019). Pattern formation in PMZC plankton model. *International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, *19*(2), 6-44.
132. Nazarian, A., Velayati, R., Foroudi, P., Edirisinghe, D., & Atkinson, P. (2021). Organizational justice in the hotel industry: revisiting GLOBE from a national culture perspective. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, *33*(12), 4418-4438.
133. Nazarian, A., Atkinson, P., Foroudi, P., & Dennis, K. (2019). Finding the right management approach in independent hotels. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, *31*(7), 2862-2883.
134. Foroudi, P., Marvi, R., & Nazarian, A. (2019). Whispering experience: Configuring the symmetrical and asymmetrical paths to travelers' satisfaction and passion. In *Place Branding: Connecting Tourist Experiences to Places*. Routledge.
135. Foroudi, P., Mauri, C., Dennis, C., & Melewar, T. C. (Eds.). (2019). *Place branding: Connecting tourist experiences to places*. Routledge.
136. Izadi, J., Foroudi, P., & Nazarian, A. (2021). Into the unknown: Impact of Coronavirus on UK hotel stock performance. *European Journal of International Management*.
137. Shabankareh, M., Nazarian, A., Seyyedamiri, N., Jandaghi, G., & Ranjbaran, A. (2022). Influential factors of loyalty and disloyalty of travellers towards traditional-resorts. *Anatolia*, *33*(3), 362-373.
138. Izadi Zadeh Darjezi, J., Choudhury, H., & Nazarian, A. (2017). Simulation evidence on the properties of alternative measures of working capital accruals: new evidence from the UK. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, *25*(4), 378-394.
139. Kamalipoor, M., Akbari, M., Hejazi, S. R., & Nazarian, A. (2023). The vulnerability of technology-based business during COVID-19: an indicator-based conceptual framework. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, *38*(5), 983-999.
140. Nazarian, A., & Atkinson, P. (2015). Organisational size as a moderator of the culture-effectiveness relationship: the case of the private sector in Iran. *Organizational Cultures*, *14*(2), 1.